

(12) **United States Patent**  
**Wilson et al.**

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 8,527,247 B1**  
(45) **Date of Patent:** **Sep. 3, 2013**

(54) **NONLINEAR POWER FLOW FEEDBACK CONTROL FOR IMPROVED STABILITY AND PERFORMANCE OF AIRFOIL SECTIONS**

(75) Inventors: **David G. Wilson**, Tijeras, NM (US);  
**Rush D. Robinett, III**, Tijeras, NM (US)

(73) Assignee: **Sandia Corporation**, Albuquerque, NM (US)

(\* ) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 938 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **12/633,045**

(22) Filed: **Dec. 8, 2009**

**Related U.S. Application Data**

(63) Continuation-in-part of application No. 12/052,180, filed on Mar. 20, 2008, now Pat. No. 8,121,708, and a continuation-in-part of application No. 12/474,349, filed on May 29, 2009, now abandoned.

(51) **Int. Cl.**  
**G06F 17/50** (2006.01)  
**G06F 7/60** (2006.01)  
**G06G 7/48** (2006.01)  
**G06G 7/50** (2006.01)  
**B63H 3/00** (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**  
USPC ..... **703/9; 703/1; 703/2; 703/7; 416/36**

(58) **Field of Classification Search**  
None  
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,408,226 B1	6/2002	Byrne
6,415,274 B1	7/2002	Goldsmith
6,577,906 B1	6/2003	Hurtado
6,826,431 B2	11/2004	Hurtado

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

“The Benchmark Active Controls Technology Model Aerodynamic Data”, Robert C. Scott, et al. Copyright © 1997 by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc.\*  
“Computational Prediction of Airfoil Dynamic Stall”, John A. Ekaterinaris, et al. 1998 Published by Elsevier Science Ltd.\*  
“Stability and Transition of Three-Dimensional Boundary Layers”, William S. Saric, et al. 2003 by Annual Reviews.\*  
“Modeling physical uncertainties in dynamic stall induced fluid-structure interaction of turbine blades using arbitrary polynomial chaos”, Jeroen A.S. Witteveen, et al. 2007 Elsevier Ltd.\*  
“Nonlinear aeroelastic behavior of an oscillating airfoil during stall-induced vibration”, S. Sarkara, et al. 2008 Elsevier Ltd.\*

(Continued)

*Primary Examiner* — Omar Fernandez Rivas

*Assistant Examiner* — Nithya J Moll

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Peacock Myers P.C.; Robert D. Watson; Olivia J. Tsai

(57) **ABSTRACT**

A computer-implemented method of determining the pitch stability of an airfoil system, comprising using a computer to numerically integrate a differential equation of motion that includes terms describing PID controller action. In one model, the differential equation characterizes the time-dependent response of the airfoil’s pitch angle,  $\alpha$ . The computer model calculates limit-cycles of the model, which represent the stability boundaries of the airfoil system. Once the stability boundary is known, feedback control can be implemented, by using, for example, a PID controller to control a feedback actuator. The method allows the PID controller gain constants,  $K_p$ ,  $K_d$ , and  $K_i$ , to be optimized. This permits operation closer to the stability boundaries, while preventing the physical apparatus from unintentionally crossing the stability boundaries. Operating closer to the stability boundaries permits greater power efficiencies to be extracted from the airfoil system.

**17 Claims, 17 Drawing Sheets**

