

3

circuit 111 is responsive to a signal from low energy detector 109 indicating that voice activity has been detected in the samples being transmitted from interface 101 to begin to transmit voice samples from history queue 108 to voice coder 106. Voice coder 106 is responsive to the samples being received from control circuit 111 to packetize these samples and transmit them via IP switched network 107. When low energy detector 109 determines that the silence has been present in the speech samples for a first predefined amount of time, low energy detector 109 removes the signal being transmitted to control circuit 111 which ceases to transmit samples to voice coder 106. Note, that the first predefined time utilized by low energy detector 109 is now the holdover time that is utilized by the system illustrated in FIG. 1. Advantageously, this holdover time is shorter than what would normally have to be allowed.

FIG. 2 illustrates another embodiment of the invention. Elements 201–207 and 211 perform the same operations as those described with respect to FIG. 1 for elements 101–107 and 111. Speech analyzer 212 is responsive to the speech samples being received from interface 201 to determine phonemes and words from the sample. Speech analyzer 212 utilizes well known voice recognition techniques to accomplish the detection of phonemes and words from the speech samples. Speech analyzer 212 then utilizes this information to adjust the length of the queue maintained by history queue 208 to be equal to the amount of time determined between the words actually being received in the voice sample from interface 201. Speech analyzer 212 maintains a smoothing technique so as to average out the amount of time between words over a predefined period of time. In addition, speech analyzer 212 utilizes the information concerning phonemes and words to adjust an interval utilized by low energy detector 209 to indicate to control circuit 211 when it is to stop the communication of samples to voice controller 206.

FIG. 3 illustrates, in block diagram form, a hardware implementation an embodiment of blocks 208–212 of FIG. 2. One skilled in the art would readily realize that all of the elements of FIG. 2 could be combined and their functions be performed in one digital signal processor or multiple digital signal processors could be utilized. Digital signal (DSP) 301 executes a program stored in memory 302 to implement the operations illustrated in FIGS. 5 and 6. One skilled in the art would readily recognize that DSP 301 could be any type of stored program controlled circuit and also could be a wired logic circuit such as a programmable logic array that simply stores data in memory 302. The circuit of FIG. 3 could also implement the operations of blocks 108–111 of FIG. 1 to perform the operations illustrated in FIG. 4.

FIG. 4 illustrates the operations to be performed by blocks 108–111 of FIG. 1 in implementing an embodiment of the invention. The operations of FIG. 4 could be performed by a circuit similar to that illustrated in FIG. 3. Once started in block 401, block 402 stores samples in the history queue before transferring control to decision block 403. Decision block 403 is responsive to the energy in the samples that are being stored in queue 402 to determine if a silent interval greater than a predefined interval has occurred. If the answer is yes, block 404 sets the silence flag before transferring control to decision block 406. If the answer in decision block 403 is no, control is transferred to decision block 406 which determines if the silence flag is set. If the answer is no in decision block 406, control is transferred to block 409 which transmits a sample from the history queue to the voice coder before returning control back to block 402. Returning to decision block 406, if the answer is yes that the silence flag is set, decision block 407 determines if the low energy

4

detector has detected any voice activity. If the answer is no, control is transferred back to block 402. If the answer in decision block 407 is yes, control is transferred to block 408 which resets the silence flag before transferring control to block 409.

FIGS. 5 and 6 illustrate, in flowchart form, the steps performed by speech analyzer 212. After being started in block 501, block 502 analyzes the incoming speech to determine the interval between words using well known techniques. After execution of block 502, decision block 503 determines if the interval between the words has changed. If the answer is no, control is transferred to block 602 of FIG. 6. If the answer is yes in decision block 503, block 504 recalculates the silence interval, and block 506 adjusts the queue size before transferring control to block 602 of FIG. 6.

One skilled in the art would readily realize that the analysis for speech and the recalculation of the silence interval and the adjustment of the queue size could be performed in a different order in FIGS. 5 and 6. In addition, the decision made in decision block 503 may simply be that based on information received from block 502 that it is not possible to determine if a different interval now exists between words.

Once control is received from block 506 or decision block 503 of FIG. 5, block 602 stores samples in the history queue before transferring control to decision block 603. Decision block 603 is responsive to the energy in the samples that are being stored in queue 602 to determine if a silent interval greater than a predefined interval has occurred. If the answer is yes, block 604 sets the silence flag before transferring control to decision block 606. If the answer in decision block 603 is no, control is transferred to decision block 606 which determines if the silence flag is set. If the answer is no in decision block 606, control is transferred to block 609 which transmits a sample from the history queue to the voice coder before returning control back to block 502. Returning to decision block 606, if the answer is yes that the silence flag is set, decision block 607 determines if the low energy detector has detected any voice activity. If the answer is no, control is transferred back to block 502. If the answer in decision block 607 is yes, control is transferred to block 608 which resets the silence flag before transferring control to block 609.

Of course, various changes and modifications to the illustrative embodiment described above will be apparent to those skilled in the art. Such changes and modifications can be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention and without diminishing its intended advantages. It is therefore intended that such changes and modifications be covered by the following claims except in so far as limited by the prior art.

What is claimed is:

1. An apparatus for communicating samples from an interface to an encoder, comprising:
 - a queue for storing samples received from the interface;
 - an energy detector for identifying samples received from the interface that contain silence and for transmitting a signal to a control circuit identifying a silence interval upon a predefined number of silence samples being identified;
 - an analyzer responsive to the received samples for adjusting the number of samples stored in the queue and the number of silence samples identified by the energy